Serial No. 10/706,296 Attorney Docket No. 632898-044 Amendment

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-29 are pending in the application. The Office action indicates that

claims 1-29 are subject to a restriction requirement. As indicated in the Office action, it

is alleged that the application contains claims directed to two distinct inventions:

Group I – Claims 1-10 and 27-29, drawn to a method of purifying glycosides of genistin

and daidzin.

Group II – Claims 11-26, drawn to a method of preparing aglycons of genistin and

daidzin.

Applicants elect to prosecute the claims of Group I, with traverse. Applicants

submit that inventions I and II are related as combination and sub-combination, wherein

the sub-combination is essential to the combination. See MPEP §806.05(c). Applicants

submit that the relationship between the claims is such that the separately claimed sub-

combination (Group I) constitutes the essential distinguishing feature of the combination

(Group II) and, therefore, the inventions are not distinct and the requirement for

restriction should not be made. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that the

restriction requirement be withdrawn and claims 1-29 be examined on the merits.

In the event that the Examiner wishes to discuss any aspect of this response,

please contact the undersigned at the telephone indicated below.

Respectfully submitted.

John F. Kane, Reg. No. 44,815

THOMPSON HINE LLP 2000 Courthouse Plaza NE 10 West Second Street Dayton, Ohio 45402-1758 (937) 443-6816

#421224 v1

-2-